## ACTUARY-TO-ACTUARY COMMUNICATION



June 28, 2017

© 2017 American Academy of Actuaries. All rights reserved. May not be reproduced without express permission. Sponsored by the Academy's Council on Professionalism and co-sponsored by ASPPA/ACOPA, CAS, CCA, and SOA

#### Presenters

**Bob Beuerlein**, MAAA, FSA, FCA, CERA

President, American Academy of Actuaries

Cecil Bykerk, MAAA, FSA, FCA, HONFIA

Member, Council on Professionalism

Janet Fagan, MAAA, FCAS

Past Chairperson, Actuarial Board for Counseling and Discipline

Moderator: Paul Kollmer-Dorsey

Academy General Counsel and Director of Professionalism



#### Disclaimer

Please note: The presenters' statements and opinions are their own and do not necessarily represent the official statements or opinions of the ABCD, ASB, any boards or committees of the American Academy of Actuaries, or any other actuarial organization, nor do they express the opinions of their employers.





- Introduction
- Precept 10
- Precept 13
- Case Studies
- Concluding ThoughtsQ&A



#### Introduction





#### The Web of Professionalism

The Basis of Self-Regulation of the U.S. Actuarial Profession

- The Code of Professional Conduct
- U.S. Qualification
  Standards (USQS)
- Actuarial standards of practice (ASOPs)
- Counseling and discipline





#### Why Does It Matter?

Our standards and disciplinary process are necessary to assure the public that we can, as a profession, be trusted. They are why the Academy was created, and are necessary for U.S. actuaries to be recognized as a self-regulating profession.



#### **Actuary-to-Actuary Communication**



**Building Blocks of Professionalism** 



#### **Actuarial Standards of Practice**



ASOPs provide guidance on the techniques, applications, procedures, and methods that reflect appropriate actuarial practices in the United States.



#### Importance of Communication

"ASOPs...recognize that actuaries can reasonably reach different conclusions when faced with the same facts"...







#### ASOP No. 41: Actuarial Communications



State actuarial findings Identify methods, procedures, assumptions

Objective appraisal by qualified actuary



#### It All Starts With the Code



"The Code of Professional Conduct sets forth what it means for an actuary to act as a professional. It identifies the responsibilities that actuaries have to the public, to their clients and employers, and to the actuarial profession."



#### **Precept 4: Communications**

"An Actuary who issues an Actuarial Communication shall take appropriate steps to ensure that the Actuarial Communication is clear and appropriate to the circumstances and its intended audience, and satisfies applicable standards of practice."



## Breaking the Language Barrier



The Black Box

The Glass Box

#### Actuaries should make things clearer



#### **Precept 8: Control of Work Product**

"The Actuary should... take reasonable steps to present the Actuarial Communication clearly and fairly."

-Annotation 8-1





- The "Golden Rule" of the Actuarial Profession
  - Courtesy
  - Professional Respect
  - Cooperation with others in Principal's interest





 Differences of Opinion
 May arise particularly with respect to assumptions and methods





- Discussions about differences of opinion between actuaries, or in making comments after observing another actuary, should be conducted
  - objectively,
  - with courtesy and respect, and
  - in a straightforward manner.



- It may be difficult to start up a discussion if there are differences but it's important to do so.
- Perhaps start by referencing ASOP No. 1, which says that actuaries can reach different but reasonable results using the same set of facts.





Principals have right to pick the actuary, and the actuary has the right to work for any Principal they choose to work for, even if that Principal had been served previously by another actuary.





- Expectation of behavior toward the Principal and successor include the "4 C's":
  - communication
  - cooperation
  - confidentiality
  - compensation
    - can't refuse because of unresolved compensation issues





Expectation of behavior toward a Regulator or an Auditor also includes communication and cooperation.



American Academy of Actuaries

- Alternative course(s) of actions may be in the best interest of a Principal.
- Such an alternative course of action should be presented with an explanation of the reasons why.
- The code in no way limits the actuary from expressing an alternative opinion.



When providing advice to a Principal who has previously employed another actuary to do the same work, the new actuary may wish to consult with the previous actuary to adequately prepare for the assignment.





CODE OF AMERICAN ACADEM OF OFACTUARIES PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT



Objectives for the consultation also include being adequately prepared to make an informed opinion regarding possible violations of the Code that may affect acceptance of the assignment.



- The new actuary should get permission from the Principal to consult with the previous actuary.
- The former actuary shall cooperate in furnishing relevant information and in a timely fashion, even if there's a fee dispute with the Principal.
- Reasonable compensation for the work or costs in producing such work is appropriate.



Pre-existing agreements may prevent such consultation and cooperation.





The Actuary does not have to provide proprietary information, e.g. internal communications or computer programs.





- Precept 13 is another key to an independent self regulating profession.
- □ All of us are the regulators.
- Shared responsibility to each other and the public.
- Good faith efforts are needed.





- Apparent, unresolved, material violations of the Code
  - First step contact the other actuary if possible.
  - Try to resolve the issue.
  - If unable to resolve report to the ABCD subject to confidentiality or client issues.



- What constitutes "resolution"?
- Examples:
  - Make a report to client.
  - Make changes to correct error and reissue report.
  - Change the process for future work.
- You have to make a professional judgement as to resolution.





- Further discussion of key concepts can be found on the Academy website.
- Professionalism Discussion Paper
  - "The Application of Precept 13 of the Code of Professional Conduct"
- "Up to Code" column in *Contingencies*



- The ABCD is here to help you at any point in your work or in your discussion that you need to talk about the issues.
- Discussion will be confidential.
- Guidance is available.





#### Precept 10 Issues



 Many Precept 10 issues have come before the ABCD.

Requests for Guidance are the most common source.

Some examples of common issues I have seen...



#### Precept 10 Issues

- Timeliness of Communications
- Sharing of information
  - Transfer of data
  - Previous report and workpapers



Sufficient details so work can be reproduced



# Precept 10 Issues

- Disclosing all material assumptions
- Proprietary software, either owned or licensed
- Additional work required
  - How much is reasonable?
  - Is raw data or scrubbed data transferred?
  - Is payment required for the work, by whom?






You are a consulting Actuary. Recently your pension client has informed you that you are being replaced for unspecified reasons by another consulting Actuary. You have received a call from the new consulting Actuary asking for:

- A. The previous 3 years of reports that you provided to your client
- B. Your work papers supporting your Actuarial work for the client for the past 3 years

You viewed the report as a confidential communication with your client.

- A. What are your obligations to the actuary and to your former client regarding providing the report to the new actuary?
  - What about proprietary systems?
  - What about input, output, and assumptions other than the software?
  - The data you used, which you spent considerable time scrubbing, is voluminous. Would you be required to give that to the new actuary?



- B. What compensation do you expect for providing the information to the new actuary and for the ensuing discussions with the new actuary?
- C. What if the client still owes you money for the work you did? Does that impact the answer?



- D. What level of work are you expected to undertake to fulfill requests from the new actuary?
- E. Many assumptions were used in your work...some fairly insignificant. What level and background for the assumptions must you provide?



## Case Study 2: New Assignment

- You are an actuary at a large life insurance company. Recently, due to restructuring, you have new responsibilities in the Financial Reporting / Valuation area reporting to the Appointed Actuary.
- The actuary who previously had these responsibilities is well-respected in the company and had been in this position for seven years. The actuary has been moved to the Product Development area.



## Case Study 2: New Assignment

- As you assume your new responsibilities, you are particularly interested in understanding the reserving and testing for the universal life with secondary guarantees (ULSG) business. You are aware of Actuarial Guideline 38 and discuss the reserving and testing with the previous actuary.
- It appears to you that a number of shortcuts and simplifications have been used by this experienced actuary to complete the analysis that you are not totally comfortable with.



## Case Study 2: New Assignment

- A. What are your responsibilities in communicating your concerns to:
  - i. The previous actuary
  - ii. The Appointed Actuary (your boss)
- B. In discussing these issues with you, what are the responsibilities of:
  - i. The previous actuary
  - ii. The Appointed Actuary



## Case Study 3: Precept 13 Implications

- You have reviewed the work of another actuary in the course of work for the same client. You found that there were significant computational errors as well as highly aggressive assumptions in the report.
- Upon making your own determination of the valuation, there was a difference of 32%, which is material to the company's solvency position.



## Case Study 3: Precept 13 Implications

- What should be your first step?
- If you cannot resolve the difference what should you do?
- When, and by who, should the client be told?
- □ Is this a reportable issue?



## **Concluding Thoughts**



#### Web of Professionalism

Communication: Building Blocks of Professionalism

**ASOPs** 



## Concluding Thoughts (ASOPs)



 Reach different conclusions – ASOP #1
Objective appraisal by qualified actuary – ASOP # 41



## Concluding Thoughts (Code)



#### Start with the Code



Clear Communications:

...

Precepts 4 & 8



The Glass Box



## Concluding Thoughts (Precept 10)



# Golden Rule Differences of Opinion Courtesy and Cooperation



## Concluding Thoughts (Precept 10)



Principal may pick the actuary

Alternate courses of action

#### Consult with previous

actuary



## Concluding Thoughts (Precept 13)







Self-Regulation

Resolution

Role of ABCD



## **Concluding Thoughts (Precept 10)**



## Sharing InformationDisclosing Information



## **Professionalism in Practice**





## **Questions?**



- PRECEPT 10. An Actuary shall perform Actuarial Services with courtesy and professional respect and shall cooperate with others in the Principal's interest.
  - ANNOTATION 10-1. Differences of opinion among actuaries may arise, particularly in choices of assumptions and methods. Discussions of such differences between an Actuary and another actuary, or in observations made by an Actuary to a Principal on the work of another actuary, should be conducted objectively and with courtesy and respect.



- ANNOTATION 10-2. A Principal has an indisputable right to choose a professional advisor. An Actuary may provide service to any Principal who requests it, even though such Principal is being or has been served by another actuary in the same matter.
- ANNOTATION 10-3. An Actuary in the course of an engagement or employment may encounter a situation such that the best interest of the Principal would be served by the Actuary's setting out an alternative opinion to one expressed by another actuary, together with an explanation of the factors that lend support to the alternative opinion. Nothing in the Code should be construed as preventing the Actuary from expressing such an alternative opinion to the Principal.



ANNOTATION 10-4. An Actuary may be requested to advise a Principal for whom the Actuary knows or has reasonable grounds to believe that another actuary has provided, or is providing, Actuarial Services with respect to the same matter. In such event, the Actuary may choose to consult with such other actuary both to prepare adequately for the assignment and to make an informed judgment as to whether there are circumstances involving a potential violation of the Code that might affect acceptance of the assignment. The Actuary should request the Principal's consent prior to such consultation.



ANNOTATION 10-5. When a Principal has given consent for a new or additional actuary to consult with an Actuary with respect to a matter for which the Actuary is providing or has provided Actuarial Services, the Actuary shall cooperate in furnishing relevant information, subject to receiving reasonable compensation for the work required to assemble and transmit pertinent data and documents. The Actuary shall not refuse to consult or cooperate with the prospective new or additional actuary based upon unresolved compensation issues with the Principal unless such refusal is in accordance with a pre-existing agreement with the Principal. The Actuary need not provide any items of a proprietary nature, such as internal communications or computer programs.



## Precept 13:

## Violations of the Code of Professional Conduct

**PRECEPT 13.** An Actuary with knowledge of an apparent, unresolved, material violation of the Code by another Actuary should consider discussing the situation with the other Actuary and attempt to resolve the apparent violation. If such discussion is not attempted or is not successful, the Actuary shall disclose such violation to the appropriate counseling and discipline body of the profession, except where the disclosure would be contrary to Law or would divulge Confidential Information.



### Precept 13:

## Violations of the Code of Professional Conduct

- ANNOTATION 13-1. A violation of the Code is deemed to be material if it is important or affects the outcome of a situation, as opposed to a violation that is trivial, does not affect an outcome, or is one merely of form.
- ANNOTATION 13-2. An Actuary is not expected to discuss an apparent, unresolved material violation of the Code with the other Actuary if either Actuary is prohibited by Law from doing so or is acting in an adversarial environment involving the other Actuary.

