Understanding the ACA: Rate Filing Review and Disclosure

Joyce Bohl, MAAA, ASA Member, Rate Review Practice Note Work Group

Brian Collender, MAAA, FSA Member, Rate Review Practice Note Work Group

David Shea, MAAA, FSA Member, Rate Review Practice Note Work Group

Moderator: Mike Abroe, MAAA, FSA Chairperson, Rate Review Practice Note Work Group

Webinar - Oct. 12, 2012



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Copyright O 2012 by the American Academy of Actuaries October 2012

Academy Health Practice Council – Practice Note

Actuarial Practices Relating to Preparing, Reviewing, and Commenting on Rate Filings Prepared in Accordance with the Affordable Care Act

Mike Abroe, MAAA, FSA



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Copyright © 2012 by the American Academy of Actuaries October 2012

Purpose of the Practice Note

- Practice note is intended for actuaries with a beginning or intermediate knowledge of the rate submission and review process
- Practice note is intended to be used as a reference manual
- Practice note does not cover issues unresolved as of July 2012, such as essential health benefits, actuarial value, reinsurance, and risk adjustment
- The actuary should recognize subsequent federal and/or state actions are likely



Presenters

- Mike Abroe Moderator
- David Shea Review of Unreasonable Rate Increases
- Brian Collender Recommendations for Completing HHS Required Documentation
- Joyce Bohl Considerations for Developing Rate Increases for Health Benefit Plans



David Shea, MAAA, FSA



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Copyright © 2012 by the American Academy of Actuaries October 2012

Introduction

- Practice note is intended for actuaries who prepare, review and/or comment on PPACA health insurance rate filings
- Practice note is also intended to encourage discussion and foster dialogue between actuaries involved in the rate review process
- Section 2794 of PPACA will very likely increase the public's awareness of the role of the actuary
 - HHS website will display actuarial memoranda signed by actuaries



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Introduction

- PPACA excludes certain types of products from the rate review requirements, and hence are not subject to this practice note:
 - Grandfathered plans
 - Certain excepted benefits
 - Large group
- Focus of the regulation is on rate <u>increases</u>, so new benefit options and new product filings are not addressed in this practice note



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Background

- Section 2794 of PPACA requires the creation of a process for the review and disclosure of "unreasonable" rate increases
- HHS promulgated regulations (45 CFR 154) and supporting materials to implement the law
- Focus is on transparency and consumer protection
- Regulation supplements but does not replace a state's law
- HHS makes a determination of whether a state has an "effective rate review program" (as of July 2012, six states have no effective rate review program)



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

State Laws and Association Business

- The actuary is expected to be familiar with specific states laws and regulations regarding rate filings and rate increases
- State and federal regulatory processes will likely evolve and change over time, so it's vital to stay current
- Actuary must know if a rate increase needs to be submitted to the state, HHS, or both
- HHS definition of association business



Products subject to review

- PPACA defaults to state definitions of individual and small group markets
- This will change to the PPACA definitions in 2016
- Rate increases at or above the threshold are subject to review
- Exceptions to the review requirements
 - Grandfathered plans----March 23, 2010 is the key date
 - Excepted benefits----generally, anything other than comprehensive major medical coverage
 - Large group is currently excluded, but this could change



Exchange and non-exchange products

- Issuers may be excluded from a state's exchange if they demonstrate a pattern or practice of excessive or unjustified rate increases
- Identical products sold in and out of the exchange must have the same rates
- HHS and states will monitor premium increases in and out of the exchange
- The offering of exchange products to large groups will be dependent on the "excess of premium growth" outside the exchange compared to inside the exchange



Definition of an "increase"

- PPACA mentions "premium" increases, but HHS has interpreted this to mean "rate" increases
- Focus is on a change to the underlying rate structure of a policy form, and not on how an insured's premium bill changes
- Increase is calculated on an annual basis for all insureds at the "product" level; benefit options are not considered "products" under this definition
- Weighted average increase is calculated based on premium volume, not enrollment



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Copyright © 2012 by the American Academy of Actuaries October 2012

- Definition of an "unreasonable" rate increase
 - Increases meeting or exceeding 10 percent (currently) must be submitted to HHS
 - If a state has an effective rate review program, HHS will accept the state's rate increase determination; otherwise, HHS will make the determination
 - HHS will consider a rate increase unreasonable if it is "excessive, unjustified, or unfairly discriminatory"
 - If HHS determines that a rate increase is unreasonable, the issuer can either change the increase or submit a final justification of the increase, post the information on its website and implement the increase



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Copyright © 2012 by the American Academy of Actuaries October 2012

- The regulation requires a justification if the projected medical loss ratio (MLR) is less than the federal minimum
 - The federal minimum applies to an entire market in a state, not to separate policy forms
 - The federal minimum MLR calculated differently than loss ratios typically used in rate development
 - The federal minimum MLR is retrospective, whereas rate increase filings are prospective



- The filing actuary might not necessarily have violated ASOP No. 8, *Regulatory Filings for Health Plan Entities*, if the filed rate increase is determined to be unreasonable by HHS
- Reviewing and filing actuaries may have differing opinions on the reasonableness of assumptions used in rate increase filings
- In these situations, both actuaries should refer to ASOP No. 41, Actuarial Communications



Recommendations for Completing HHS Required Documentation

Brian Collender, FSA, MAAA



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Copyright © 2012 by the American Academy of Actuaries October 2012

Background

- Required for those rate filings that are "subject to review"
- Preliminary justification:
 - Part I Rate increase summary form
 - Part II Written explanation of the rate increase
 - Part III Rate filing documentation (only required for those rates reviewed by CMS)
- Instructions can be found at:

http://cciio.cms.gov/resources/files/issue_manual_updated _091411.pdf



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Copyright © 2012 by the American Academy of Actuaries October 2012

Background

- What needs to be filed for each state can be found at
- http://cciio.cms.gov/resources/factsheets/rate_review_fact _sheet.html
- Perform data testing/review to ensure that the data quality is consistent with ASOP No. 23, *Data Quality*



Part I - Rate Increase Summary Form

- Base period data
- Claims projection
- Components of current and future rates
- Components of rate increase
- List of annual average rate changes requested and implemented in the past three calendar years
- Range and scope of proposed increases



Part I - Rate Increase Summary Form – Base Period Data

- Generally should include the data that was utilized to determine the rate increase
- Assumes 12-month periods
- Base member months should be used for non-base medical categories
- Total allowed claims
 - Need to include incurred but not reported (IBNR)
 - Need to adjust appropriately for coordination of benefit (COB) and provider incentives as cost sharing is developed by calculation based on paid and allowed amounts



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

opyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}$ 2012 by the American Academy of Actuaries october 2012

Section B1 – Adjustment to current rate

- Need to adjust claims for changes in benefit and demographic mix unless this was done in the base period data
- May include impact of new members if deemed appropriate
- Exclude impact of new products
- Should reflect 12 months' worth of projection, but need to adjust data appropriately for rate increases in last 12 months
- Need to reflect allowed PMPM trends by category may be appropriate to back into these based on paid trends



Section B1 – Adjustments to current rates

- What trend should be used in the projection?
 - Option 1: Utilize trend over past 12 months ending with the base period data
 - Option 2: Assume trend used in development of original current rates
 - Option 3: Use the trend developed in section B2 and trend from the base period to the midpoint of the current rating period
- Be sure to document any assumptions and methodology



■ B2 – Claims projections for future rates

- Must be one year after start date
- May need to back into allowed trends and ensure that paid claims and cost sharing appear reasonable
- Need to ensure capitation trend is accounted for appropriately



■ B3 – Medical trend breakout

- "Pure" trends should be reflected in cost and unit categories with other impacts shown in "all other"
- Utilization could be calculated by weighting trend based on PMPM cost
- Unit cost change should be calculated excluding impacts of severity, service, and provider mix (e.g., a basket of goods analysis)
- If trends cannot be pure due to data issues, this should be disclosed in Part II
- Capitation costs should be considered in trend development



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Copyright © 2012 by the American Academy of Actuaries October 2012

B3 – Medical trend breakout

- "Other" may include impacts of:
 - Severity
 - Service
 - Provider mix
 - Cost share leverage impacts
 - Impacts due to capitation or other provider payments not attributed elsewhere
 - Demographics



Part I - Rate Increase Summary Form – Future Rates and Prior Estimates

Future rates

- Underwriting gain/loss
- Overall rate increase assumes a 12 month period between rate increases

Prior estimate of current rates

- Projected net claim costs intent is to use the same population as current rate increase request
- Administrative costs updated for demographic and benefit mix
- Underwriting gain/loss updated for demographic and benefit mix



Part I - Rate Increase Summary Form – Other Information Required

- Should reflect annual rate increase requested and implemented over the past three years
- Range and scope of increase
 - Number of individuals affected by rate increase
 - Threshold of rate increase rate increase calculated under the "subject to review" test



Part II – Written Explanation of Rate Increase

- Actuary may want to provide a reason behind the request for the rate increase in terms the public can understand
- Would need to summarize at least two key drivers of the rate increase
- Should include:
 - Scope and range of rate increase:
 - Should be consistent with information from Part I
 - Should identify policyholders and enrollees affected by month
 - Should note where rate increases are not uniform and why



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Copyright © 2012 by the American Academy of Actuaries Dctober 2012

Part II – Written Explanation of Rate Increase

Should include (cont.):

- Changes in benefits and how they affect rate increases
 - Should differentiate between plan changes and changes required by regulation
 - If actuary cannot differentiate between benefit change effects required in addition to changes made because of operational simplicity, this should be clearly documented or estimated.
- Administrative costs and anticipated profits:
 - Description of impact of changes in admin/profits on rates
 - Discussion of retained earnings importance, if applicable



- Only required when CMS is reviewing the filing
- Need to include impact of changes in "reserves" actuary would need to define his or her definition of reserves
- Need to state source of data, assumptions, and methodology used in completion of other forms
- If a required item is not relevant to development of the rate increase, it would need to be identified and an explanation would need to be provided why it was not relevant



- Underwriting method should describe how groups and individuals are underwritten
- Scope and reason for rate increase should include:
 - Inefficiencies of prior rates
 - Changes in reimbursement
 - Changes in administrative costs/profit
 - Changes in benefits
 - Level of increase and individuals affected



- Average premium before and after increase
 - Should include historical rate increase approval dates/rates
 - Dollar increase should assume same demographics before and after
- Past experience and alternative/additional data used
 - Should have more detailed impacts by policyholder if asked (by plan, month, etc.)
 - Support for credibility analysis
 - Detailed documentation may be need for IBNR calculations, including documentation on manual adjustments
 - Methodology to develop contract reserves



- Description of how the rate increase was determined:
 - Describe underwriting gain/loss and reason for need
 - Historical detail on general expenses
 - Historical detail on other administrative expenses
 - Changes in the rate scale before and after increase (e.g., age slope)
 - Description of how the revised rates were determined (e.g., projection methodology, application of assumptions, etc.)
 - Interest rate assumptions



State Reporting Requirements to HHS

- Reporting trends by area, product, market, and benefit level
 - Report by area using three to five digit ZIP code levels
 - Differentiate by HMO, PPO, CDHIP, etc.
 - Market individual, small group, large group, and exchange/non-exchange products
 - Benefit level based on deductible level
- States can recommend if plans participate in exchanges
- Rate increase between exchange/non-exchange products



Considerations for Developing Rate Increases for Health Benefit Plans

Joyce E. Bohl, MAAA, ASA



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Copyright © 2012 by the American Academy of Actuaries October 2012

Introduction

- This section discusses factors an actuary <u>may want</u> to consider when developing rate increases
- The focus is specific to the ACA requirements, but these underlying principles apply to the review and preparation of all health benefit plan increases
- Under the new ACA requirements, actuaries may want to provide additional supporting information in response to requests from the state or federal reviewers



Administrative Expenses

- General expenses
- Commissions and broker fees
- Health care quality improvement expenses
- Other administrative costs
- Reinsurance
- State taxes, licenses, and fees
- Federal income taxes



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Claims Trends

- Internal and external factors driving medical-cost increases
- Policy duration (for medically underwritten business)
- Policyholder lapses/changes in enrollment mix
- Leveraging effect of deductible
- Correction of prior estimates
- Programs that drive utilization to lower-cost places of service
- Impact and timing of new medical management programs and the effect on service intensity and unit cost
- New and evolving technologies
- New Rx generic drug dispensing opportunities



Historical Rating Methodology

- Claims trend and premium increases, historical
- Base period claims and premium experience
- Adjustment to claims such as credibility, large claim pooling, and seasonality
- Durational claims adjustments
- Durational premium adjustments
- Relationship between durational claims and premium index
- Interest rate to accumulate past experience



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Projection Methodology

- Claims trend and premium increases, projected
- Plan mix change for premium and claims, if applicable
- Policy renewal distribution by calendar month
- Lapse assumptions
- Cohort of members used in projection (members in force 12 months after the rate increase effective date)
- Interest rate to discount future projections
- Number of projection years



Other Considerations

Capital and surplus
MLR calculations
MLR rebates
Attestations – ASOP No. 41



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Copyright © 2012 by the American Academy of Actuaries October 2012

Actuarial Standards of Practice

- ASOP No. 5—Incurred Health and Disability Claims
- ASOP No. 8—Regulatory Filings for Health Plan Entities
- ASOP No. 12—*Risk Classification* (for all practice areas)
- ASOP No. 23—Data Quality
- ASOP No. 25—Credibility Procedures Applicable to Accident and Health, Group Term Life, and Property/Casualty Coverages
- ASOP No. 26—Compliance with Statutory and Regulatory Requirements for the Actuarial Certification of Small Employer Health Benefit Plans
- ASOP No. 41—Actuarial Communications



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Communications

- Precept 1: An actuary should act with integrity and competence in a manner to fulfill the profession's responsibility to the public.
- Precept 2: An actuary shall perform actuarial services only when qualified to do so on the basis of basic and continuing education, experience, and satisfaction of applicable qualification standards.
- Precept 3: An actuary shall ensure that actuarial services performed satisfy applicable standards of practice.
- Precept 4: An actuary shall take appropriate steps to ensure that the actuarial communications are clear and appropriate to the circumstances and for the intended audiences.
- Precept 10: An actuary shall perform actuarial services with courtesy and cooperate with others.



Rate Review Principles

- The purpose of the review is to ensure that premium rates meet state and federal requirements.
- Open communications between the filing and reviewing actuary is expected.
- The process should ensure premiums for health benefit plans are adequate to cover the following:
 - projected claims
 - administrative expenses
 - margins for adverse deviations
 - profit/contribution to surplus
 - All state and federal taxes and fees, including the new fees under the ACA
- All assumptions and methodologies employed should be demonstrable and based on data and actuarial analyses



And finally...Documentation!

General information

- Specific plan information, e.g.,: plan benefits and details on product groupings
- A full description of the rating structure including rate tables, rating factors, rating algorithms, including sample rate calculations

Historical experience

- Claims and premium exhibits, including the effects of reinsurance, rebates, and risk adjustment
- Distribution of the covered lives by risk characteristic and policy variations

Proposed changes and future projections

- Projections to fully support the requested rate increase, including a detailed explanation of any changes to the existing assumptions
- Rate increase distribution by cohort group, including the average impacts and a discussion of any variations by group or member
- Capital and surplus considerations, if appropriate
- **Full support for all significant actuarial methods and assumptions**



Questions?

Staff Contact Information: Heather Jerbi Senior Health Policy Analyst, Federal American Academy of Actuaries 1850 M Street, NW (Suite 300) Washington, DC 20036 202-223-8196 jerbi@actuary.org



AMERICAN ACADEMY of ACTUARIES

Copyright © 2012 by the American Academy of Actuaries October 2012